| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| | 10/510,926 | KAMO ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | JOHN PANI | 3736 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ |
| (1) <u>Examiner John Pani</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Applicant's representative Rachel Watt. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 6 November 2008 | Time: <u>12:45pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative) | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: 1,10,16-18 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner presented several minor informalities to be resolved prior to allowance. The Applicant's representative authorized the amendments. The details are found in the attached Examiner's Amendment. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate n directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate n did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |
| | _ | |